

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	ΓE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/659,112	09/11/2000		Arthur Cameron Wilson	9D-RG-19254	4522
7590 11/26/2003				EXAMINER	
John S. Beulick			COCKS, JOSIAH C		
Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Metropolitan Square St. Louise, MO 63102				3749	10
St. Louise, Wit	03102			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Advisory Action	09/659,112	WILSON, ARTHUR CAMERON	
,	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Josiah C. Cocks	3749	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cov r sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying th	е
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment	:
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:			
		Josiuh Cocks Patent Examiner Art Unit 3749	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/659,112

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The limitation of claim 1 that the legs are attached to the first portion, and the limitations of claim 9 of a first portion and legs attached to the first portion raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.